arXiv:1408.5584v1 [hep-ph] 24 Aug 2014

The Production of Ξ_{bb} at Photon Collider

YANG Zhong-Juan* and ZHAO Xiao-xia
School of Physics and Chemistry, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo Henan, 454003, China

The inclusive production of the doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bb} is investigated at polarized and unpolarized photon collider. bb pair in both color triplet and sextet have been considered to transform into Ξ_{bb}. The results indicate that the contribution from color sextet is about 8% for Ξ_{bb} production. For the ILC collision energy ranging from 91GeV to 1000GeV, the total cross section of Ξ_{bb} shows a downtrend, i.e., the production of Ξ_{bb} has the maximal rate at $\sqrt{S} = 91$GeV. Our results indicate that the initial beam polarization may be an important asset for the production of Ξ_{bb}. At some collision point, the production rate of Ξ_{bb} can be increased about 17% with an appropriate choice of the initial beam polarization.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Rj, 12.38.Bx, 14.20.Pt

The 125GeV Higgs-like boson are discovered at ATLAS and CMS, which may be a big breakthrough in precise testing of the Standard Model (SM). So the main goal of all kinds of colliders is not only hunting the new physics but also testing the SM precisely. In SM, baryon with two heavy quarks called doubly heavy baryon, is conformed to exist. At present, only the doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{cc} has been observed by SELEX collaboration [1–3]. However, the decay width and production rate measured at SELEX are not consistent with most of the theoretical predictions. There is no any evidence for the doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bb} or Ξ_{bc} in experiments. These doubly heavy baryons offer good opportunities to study various perturbative or non-perturbative QCD theories, especially they can shine on the color connections of internal QQ pair and the transformation into the color singlet[4, 5]. So it is necessary to study the production mechanism more precisely. The theoretical studies concerning Ξ_{bb} production can be found in all kinds of colliders, such as pp, ep, e^+e^- [6–15], etc.. These studies show that the production rate of Ξ_{bb} is much less than that of Ξ_{cc}. Thus a more cleaning environment is needed for investigating the production of Ξ_{bb}. The International Linear Collider (ILC) may be a good platform for studying the production of Ξ_{bb}.

The International Linear Collider (ILC) can play a key role in the precise measurements of future elementary particle physics. The collider has many advantages. First, it is possible to run at arbitrary center of mass (CM) energies between 91GeV to 1000GeV with high luminosity[16]. Second, the ILC can provide an environment in which high energy collisions can be measured with high precision and one can perform physics analyses on all final states of the decay particles. The last, at the ILC, spin effects can provide us crucial new handles on investigation of all kinds of physics, which can be realized by easily controlling of the polarization of the initial beams. The photon photon collider can be realized at ILC by Compton backscattering laser light[17], which may produce very high energy photons , and photon photon collider has all of the above advantages at ILC. Thus many physics programs can be employed at the photon collider, during which the production of doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bb} is a potential one. The advantages of ILC may be helpful for looking for the doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bb}.

The factorization can be used to handle the production of Ξ_{bb} [18, 19]. The first is the production of heavy quark pair bb, which can be calculated using perturbative QCD. The second is the non-perturbative transformation of bb into Ξ_{bb}, which can be handled with non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) because of the small velocity of b quark in the rest frame of Ξ_{bb} [18, 19]. In this letter, we systematically investigate the inclusive production of Ξ_{bb} at photon photon collider. It is found that proper choice of the initial beam polarization may increase the production rate of Ξ_{bb} up to 17%. We hope this can further improve the theoretical predictions and be helpful for the research of Ξ_{bb} at ILC.

For the leading order contribution at photon collider, the production of doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bb} can be described via the following inclusive process

$$\gamma(p_1, \lambda_1) + \gamma(p_2, \lambda_2) \to \Xi_{bb}(k) + \bar{b}(p_3) + \bar{b}(p_4) + X_N, \tag{1}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the polarizations of the photons, the momenta of the corresponding particles are respectively denoted as $p_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and k. X_N is the non-perturbative unobserved state. Here, the high energy photons can

[0] Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos 11047109 and 11204067.
*Corresponding author. Email: yangzj@hpu.edu.cn.



FIG. 1: The perturbative production of bb pair in two photon process which resulting from Compton backscattering laser.

be provided by Compton backscattered laser beam [17] and the corresponding process is shown in Figure 1. The total effective cross section for $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ production can be written as

$$d\sigma(S) = \int_0^{y_{max}} dy_1 \int_0^{y_{max}} dy_2 f_\gamma^e(y_1, P_{\rm e}, P_{\rm L}) f_\gamma^e(y_2, P_{\rm e}, P_{\rm L}) d\hat{\sigma}(\hat{s}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \tag{2}$$

where S is the square of e^+e^- center of mass energy, $\hat{s} = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $P_{\rm L}(P_{\rm e})$ is the polarization of the initial laser (electron) beam. $f_\gamma^e(y, P_{\rm e}, P_{\rm L})$ is the photons' energy spectrum, and it's normalized formation is

$$f_\gamma^e(y, P_{\rm e}, P_{\rm L}) = \mathcal{N}^{-1}[\frac{1}{1-y} - y + (2r-1)^2 - P_{\rm e}P_{\rm L}xr(2r-1)(2-y)], \tag{3}$$

$\mathcal{N}$ is the normalization factor, $r = y/(x - xy)$. y is the fraction of the photon energy obtained from the electron in center of mass system. Here, we have $0 \le y \le x/x + 1$ and $x = 4E_{\rm L}E_{\rm e}/m_e^2$. The maximum of x can only reach $2(1+\sqrt{2})$, which can avoid the creation of e^+e^- pairs from the initial laser light and the backscattered laser beam.

The differential cross section $d\hat{\sigma}(\hat{s}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ in Eq.(1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\sigma}(\hat{s}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = & \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2\hat{s}} \sum_{X_{\rm N}} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{d^3p_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - k - p_3 - p_4 - P_{X_{\rm N}}) \\ & \cdot \int \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4k_3}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{2} A_{ij}(k_1, k_2, p_3, p_4, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^0 A^\dagger(k_3, k_4, p_3, p_4, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)\gamma^0)_{kl} \\ & \cdot \int d^4x_1 d^4x_3 e^{-ik_1\cdot x_1 + ik_3\cdot x_3} \langle 0|b_k(0)b_l(x_3)|\Xi_{\rm bb} + X_{\rm N}\rangle \langle \Xi_{\rm bb} + X_{\rm N}|\bar{b_i}(x_1)\bar{b_j}(0)|0\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with the photons' polarization

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{f_\gamma^e(y_i, P_{\rm e}^{(i)}, P_{\rm L}^{(i)})\mathcal{N}} \{xrP_{\rm e}^{(i)}[1 + (1-y)(2r-1)^2] - (2r-1)P_{\rm L}^{(i)}[\frac{1}{1-y} + 1 - y]\}, i = 1, 2. \tag{5}$$

Here we imply the average over the photons' polarization and the summation over the spin and color of all final state particles. $A_{ij}(k_1, k_2, p_3, p_4, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the scattering amplitude for the production of heavy quark pair bb in photon photon collision, which can be calculated using perturbative QCD. k_1, k_2 denote the four-momentum of the internal bb quarks and $k_1 = k_2 = k/2$. $b(x)$ is the Dirac field for b quark. Fig. 2 shows the contributions in Eq. (4). Employing



FIG. 2: The contributions in eq.(4)

the non-relativistic normalization for $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ and the translation invariance to handle the sum over $X_{\rm N}$, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\sigma}(\hat{s},\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \;=\; & \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2\hat{s}}\frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{d^3p_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3}\frac{d^3p_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4}\int\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_3}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{2}A_{ij}(k_1,k_2,p_3,p_4,\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \\ & \cdot\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^0 A^\dagger(k_3,k_4,p_3,p_4,\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\gamma^0)_{kl}\cdot\frac{1}{v^0}(2\pi)^4\delta^4(k_1-m_{\rm b}v)(2\pi)^4\delta^4(k_2-m_{\rm b}v)(2\pi)^4\delta^4(k_3-m_{\rm b}v) \\ & \cdot[-(\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}+\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4})({P_v}^T C\gamma_5 P_v)_{ji}(P_v\gamma_5 C{P_v}^T)_{lk}\cdot h_1 \\ & +(\delta_{a_1a_4}\delta_{a_2a_3}-\delta_{a_1a_3}\delta_{a_2a_4})({P_v}^T C\gamma^\mu P_v)_{ji}(P_v\gamma^\nu C{P_v}^T)_{lk}(v_\mu v_\nu-g_{\mu\nu})\cdot h_3], \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $P_v = 1+\gamma\cdot v/2$, $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$, and $v^\mu = k^\mu/M_{\Xi_{\rm bb}}$. The color and Dirac indices are respectively denoted as $a_i(i=1,2,3,4)$ and i, j, k, l. For bb quark system, there are two states contributing to the production of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$, one is bb in ${}^3S_1$ and color triplet, the other is in ${}^1S_0$ and color sextet. The corresponding probability to transform into the baryon can be respectively represented by h_1 and h_3, which should be determined by non-perturbative QCD. Under NRQCD, as noted in [7, 19], one can have $h_1 \approx h_3 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$.

In principle, ILC can run on any energy stage from 91GeV to 1000GeV with high luminosity. So we choose different CM energies for the numerical calculation of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$. Here we take $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1185$ and let the leading order α_s run. With the renormalization scale being chosen to be $2m_{\rm b}$, one can have $\alpha_s(2m_{\rm b}) = 0.178$ for $m_{\rm b} = 5.1$GeV.

The total effective cross sections for $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ at $\sqrt{S} = 500$GeV are given in Table 1. In Ref.[7], $|\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2 = 0.152{\rm GeV}^3$. Here, the values of $|\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$ change from $0.136{\rm GeV}^3$ to $0.168{\rm GeV}^3$. One can find that, when the parameter $h_1(h_3)$ is raised(reduced) 10%, the total cross section correspondingly increase (drop down) about 10% . The exact values of h_1 and h_3 have impacts on the production rate of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$. For the production of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$, the contribution from bb pair in the color sextet is approximately 10% of that from the color triplet one. The total cross section promptly drops down when b quark mass changes from $m_{\rm b} = 4.1$GeV to $m_{\rm b} = 5.35$GeV, i.e., the production rate of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ reduces by a factor of 67% corresponding to the 30% enlargement of b quark mass.

Employing the polarizations of the electron (laser) beams, we calculate the total effective cross section of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ for $\sqrt{S} = 250$GeV at photon collider. The results are shown in Table 2. One can notice that the choice of $(P_{\rm e1}, P_{\rm e2}; P_{\rm L1}, P_{\rm L2}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$ can increase the production rate of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ by approximately 17%, which means that the polarization of initial beams is an important asset for $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ production. Compared with the results in Table 1, one can find that the cross section of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ at $\sqrt{S} = 250$GeV is twice larger than that at 500GeV CM energy.

Table 1. Total cross section (in unit: fb) of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ production at $\sqrt{S} = 500$GeV.

$(h_1, h_3)\,\mathrm{GeV}^3$	m_b=4.1 GeV	m_b=4.35 GeV	m_b=4.6 GeV	m_b=4.85 GeV	m_b=5.1 GeV	m_b=5.35 GeV
(0.136,0)	7.13×10^{-3}	5.45×10^{-3}	4.26×10^{-3}	3.37×10^{-3}	2.66×10^{-3}	2.16×10^{-3}
(0,0.136)	8.08×10^{-2}	6.28×10^{-2}	4.99×10^{-2}	4.01×10^{-2}	3.20×10^{-2}	2.65×10^{-2}
(0.136,0.136)	8.79×10^{-2}	6.83×10^{-2}	5.42×10^{-2}	4.35×10^{-2}	3.47×10^{-2}	2.87×10^{-2}
(0.144,0)	7.55×10^{-3}	5.77×10^{-3}	4.51×10^{-3}	3.57×10^{-3}	2.82×10^{-3}	2.29×10^{-3}
(0,0.144)	8.54×10^{-2}	6.64×10^{-2}	5.28×10^{-2}	4.25×10^{-2}	3.39×10^{-2}	2.81×10^{-2}
(0.144,0.144)	9.32×10^{-2}	7.22×10^{-2}	5.73×10^{-2}	4.61×10^{-2}	3.67×10^{-2}	3.04×10^{-2}
(0.152,0)	7.97×10^{-3}	6.09×10^{-3}	4.77×10^{-3}	3.77×10^{-3}	2.97×10^{-3}	2.42×10^{-3}
(0,0.152)	9.02×10^{-2}	7.01×10^{-2}	5.58×10^{-2}	4.47×10^{-2}	3.58×10^{-2}	2.96×10^{-2}
(0.152,0.152)	9.82×10^{-2}	7.62×10^{-2}	6.06×10^{-2}	4.85×10^{-2}	3.88×10^{-2}	3.20×10^{-2}
(0.160,0)	8.39×10^{-3}	6.42×10^{-3}	5.02×10^{-3}	3.96×10^{-3}	3.12×10^{-3}	2.55×10^{-3}
(0,0.160)	9.5×10^{-2}	7.38×10^{-2}	5.86×10^{-2}	4.71×10^{-2}	3.77×10^{-2}	3.11×10^{-2}
(0.160,0.160)	10.34×10^{-2}	8.02×10^{-2}	6.36×10^{-2}	5.11×10^{-2}	4.08×10^{-2}	3.37×10^{-2}
(0.168,0)	8.81×10^{-3}	6.74×10^{-3}	5.27×10^{-3}	4.16×10^{-3}	3.29×10^{-3}	2.67×10^{-3}
(0,0.168)	9.98×10^{-2}	7.74×10^{-2}	6.16×10^{-2}	4.95×10^{-2}	3.96×10^{-2}	3.28×10^{-2}
(0.168,0.168)	10.86×10^{-2}	8.41×10^{-2}	6.69×10^{-2}	5.37×10^{-2}	4.29×10^{-2}	3.55×10^{-2}

Table 2. Results for the effective cross section (in unit: fb) of Ξ_{bb} (for $m_\mathrm{b} = 5.1\mathrm{GeV}$) at $\sqrt{S} = 250\mathrm{GeV}$.

$h_1(\mathrm{GeV}^3)$	0	0.152	0.152
$h_3(\mathrm{GeV}^3)$	0.152	0	0.152
spin averaged	7.89×10^{-2}	5.01×10^{-3}	8.39×10^{-2}
$(P_{e1}, P_{e2}; P_{L1}, P_{L2}) = (0.85, 0.85; -1, -1)$	6.94×10^{-2}	4.42×10^{-3}	7.38×10^{-2}
$(P_{e1}, P_{e2}; P_{L1}, P_{L2}) = (0.85, 0.85; +1, +1)$	7.57×10^{-2}	7.46×10^{-3}	8.32×10^{-2}
$(P_{e1}, P_{e2}; P_{L1}, P_{L2}) = (0.85, -0.85; -1, +1)$	7.50×10^{-2}	4.88×10^{-3}	7.99×10^{-2}
$(P_{e1}, P_{e2}; P_{L1}, P_{L2}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$	9.48×10^{-2}	3.24×10^{-3}	9.8×10^{-2}

In the following numerical calculation, we take the parameters as that in Ref.[7]

$$|\Psi_{\mathrm{bb}}(0)|^2 = 0.152\mathrm{GeV}^3, \qquad m_\mathrm{b} = 5.1\mathrm{GeV}. \tag{7}$$

The total cross sections of Ξ_{bb} versus the collision energy are shown in Fig.3. bb pair in different color states are calculated in Fig.3(a). The contribution from color triplet is much larger than color sextet. One can find that the largest production rate appears around $\sqrt{S} = 91\mathrm{GeV}$, which is the supposed energy at Giga-Z program. The cross sections of Ξ_{bb} with all kinds of polarizations of the initial beams are considered. The choices of $(P_{\mathrm{e1}}, P_{\mathrm{e2}}; P_{\mathrm{L1}}, P_{\mathrm{L2}}) = (0.85, 0.85; +1, +1)$ and $(P_{\mathrm{e1}}, P_{\mathrm{e2}}; P_{\mathrm{L1}}, P_{\mathrm{L2}}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$ have large impacts on the production rate, which are shown in Fig.3(b). For different CM energy, the choice of initial beam polarization shows different effect. When the CM energy is less than 400GeV, the choice of $(P_{\mathrm{e1}}, P_{\mathrm{e2}}; P_{\mathrm{L1}}, P_{\mathrm{L2}}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$ can increase the production rate of Ξ_{bb}, while $(P_{\mathrm{e1}}, P_{\mathrm{e2}}; P_{\mathrm{L1}}, P_{rmL2}) = (0.85, 0.85; 1, 1)$ has the same impact when $\sqrt{S} > 400\mathrm{GeV}$.

The $\cos\theta$-distributions, x_T-distributions and x-distributions for Ξ_{bb} are given in Fig.4, 5 and 6 respectively. Here the collision energy is taken to be 250GeV. θ is the angle between the initial e^+ beam and the final Ξ_{bb} moving direction. $x_\mathrm{T} = 2p_\mathrm{T}/\sqrt{S}$ and $x = 2E/\sqrt{S}$, with p_T and E the transverse momentum and energy of Ξ_{bb}. The contributions of bb pair in both color states have the same decency in each distribution for Ξ_{bb} production at $\sqrt{S} = 250GeV$. Different polarizations of the initial beams slightly change the distributions, which can be seen from Fig.4(b),5(b) and 6(b).

For bb quark system, neglecting the relative orbital angular momentum and considering anti-symmetry property of the wave function, there are only two states contributing to the production of Ξ_{bb}. The method can be used to study the production of doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bc}. But for Ξ_{bc} production, one have more hadronic matrix elements to describe the internal bc quark system, which is due to no restriction from Pauli principle for the heavy quark pair bc.

In summary, we investigate the production of the doubly heavy baryon Ξ_{bb} at $\gamma\gamma$ collider. We find that for Ξ_{bb} production, the contribution from the color sextet is about 8%. Therefore, the color triplet and sextet should both be included for the production of Ξ_{bb}. The value of m_b has large impact on the Ξ_{bb} production. For a fixed value of m_b , the largest production rate appears around $\sqrt{S} = 91\mathrm{GeV}$, as the collision energy running up, the total cross section drops down. For different collision energy, there are different choices of the initial beam polarization which can increase the production rate of Ξ_{bb}. For example, The production rate of Ξ_{bb} can be increased about 17% with the initial beam polarization $(P_{\mathrm{e1}}, P_{\mathrm{e2}}; P_{\mathrm{L1}}, P_{\mathrm{L2}}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$ at $\sqrt{S} = 250\mathrm{GeV}$. The enhancement is larger than the contribution from the color sextet. These results indicate that the initial beam polarization may be an important asset for the production of Ξ_{bb}. We hope these results can be helpful for the investigation of Ξ_{bb} production at ILC.



FIG. 3: The total cross sections of $\Xi_{\rm bb}$ versus the center of mass energy. (a)The solid line stands for $h_3 = h_1 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$, the dotted stands for $h_1 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$ and $h_3 = 0$, and the dashed stands for $h_3 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$ and $h_1 = 0$. (b)The solid line stands for $(P_{\rm e1}, P_{\rm e2}; P_{\rm L1}, P_{\rm L2}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$, the dotted stands for $(P_{\rm e1}, P_{\rm e2}; P_{\rm L1}, P_{\rm L2}) = (0.85, 0.85; +1, +1)$, and the dashed line stands for spin averaged .



FIG. 4: The distributions of $\cos\theta$. (a) The solid line stands for $h_3 = h_1 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$, the dotted stands for $h_1 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$ and $h_3 = 0$, and the dashed stands for $h_3 = |\Psi_{\rm bb}(0)|^2$ and $h_1 = 0$. (b)The solid line stands for $(P_{\rm e1}, P_{\rm e2}; P_{\rm L1}, P_{\rm L2}) = (0.85, -0.85; +1, -1)$, the dotted stands for $(P_{\rm e1}, P_{\rm e2}; P_{\rm L1}, P_{\rm L2}) = (0.85, 0.85; -1, -1)$, and the dashed line stands for spin averaged.



FIG. 5: Same as Fig.4, but for $x_{\rm T}$-distributions.



FIG. 6: Same as Fig.4, but for x-distributions.

[1] Mattson M *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration] 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** *112001*
[2] Moinester M A *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration] 2003 *Czech. J. Phys.* **53** *B201*
[3] Ocherashvili A *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration] 2005 *Phys. Lett. B* **628** *18*
[4] Han W, Li S Y, Si Z G and Yang Z J *Phys. Lett. B* **642** *(2006) 62*
[5] Jin Y, Li S Y, Si Z G, Yang Z J and Yao T *Phys. Lett. B* **727** *(2013) 468*
[6] Falk A F, Luke M E, Savage M J and Wise M B 1994 *Phys. Rev. D* **49** *555*
[7] Bagan E, Dosch H G, Gosdzinsky P, Narison S and Richard J M *Z. Phys. C* **64** *(1994) 57*
[8] Berezhnoi A V, Kiselev V V and Likhoded A K 1996 *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **59** *870 [1996 Yad. Fiz.* **59** *909]*
[9] Baranov S P 1996 *Phys. Rev. D* **54** *3228*
[10] Li S Y, Si Z G and Yang Z J 2007 *Phys. Lett. B* **648** *284*
[11] Chang C H, Wang J X and Wu X G *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** *(2010) 1144*
[12] Jiang J, Wu X G, Liao Q L, Zheng X C and Fang Z Y *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, *054021 (2012)*
[13] Wang X Y and Wu X G *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184** *(2013) 1070*
[14] Jiang J, Wu X G, Wang S M, Zhang J W and Fang Z Y *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, *054027 (2013)*
[15] Yang Z J, Zhang P F and Zheng Y J *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **31** *(2014) 051301*
[16] Baer H, Barklow T, Fujii K, Gao Y, Hoang A, Kanemura S, List J and Logan H E *et al. arXiv:1306.6352 [hep-ph]*
[17] Ginzburg I F, Kotkin G L, Panfil S L, Serbo V G and Telnov V I 1984 *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **219** *5*
[18] Bodwin G T, Braaten E and Lepage G P 1995 *Phys. Rev. D* **51** *1125 [1997 Erratum-ibid. D* **55** *5853]*
[19] Ma J P and Si Z G 2003 *Phys. Lett. B* **568** *135*